

Predictors of depression among justice involved youth

Richard Dembo, PhD*; Alexis Swezey; James Schmeidler, PhD

Agency for Community Treatment Services, University of South Florida, Mt. Sinai Medical School, USA.

Abstract

Depressed mood remains a significant mental health problem among justice involved youth. There is a well-established correlation between depressed mood and conduct problems (e.g., conduct disorder and oppositional defiant disorder) during childhood and adolescence, which tends to become more prevalent during adolescence. Studies suggest early problems with both depression and delinquency can lead to difficulties with school success, substance use, continued depression and criminal offending in adulthood. Teplin and Associates [35] found among Cook County, Illinois detained youth that 15 years after detention, 52.3% of males and 30.9% of females still had a psychiatric disorder. Informed by the research literature, we conducted a study of the demographic, background and psychosocial predictors of depression among newly arrested male and female youth admitted to a centralized juvenile assessment center in a southern U.S. city. Multi-group Bayesian regression analysis found male and female youth whose parents had been in jail or prison had higher depression scores, than youth whose parents had not been incarcerated. In addition, female youth who experienced sexual assault, had significantly higher levels of depression than female youth without such experiences. Implications of these findings are discussed.

Introduction

Depression remains a significant mental health issue experienced by justice involved youth, a condition which is often related to other co-occurring mental health problems, such as PTSD, trauma and substance misuse. Depression and its often-associated negative outcomes [18,19,30] have been found to have long term, adverse mental health outcomes. In particular, Teplin and her associates [35] found among the Cook County, Illinois detained they studied those 15 years after detention, 52.3% of males and 30.9% of females still had a psychiatric disorder, and disorder prevalence rates that were higher than in the general population. Males were more likely to persist with a psychiatric disorder than females; and untreated traumas and other disorders made it harder to finish school, get a job, and stay out of jail. The study also found that males with depressive disorder at baseline were more likely than those without this disorder to have mood, anxiety, and alcohol use disorders 15 years later. Females with depressive disorders at baseline were more likely than those without to have nearly all disorders.

*Corresponding author: Richard Dembo

Agency for Community Treatment Services, University of South Florida, Mt. Sinai Medical School, USA.

Email: rdembo@usf.edu

Received: Jan 29, 2026

Accepted: Feb 23, 2026

Published: Mar 02, 2026

Epidemiology & Public Health - www.jpublichealth.org

Dembo R © All rights are reserved

Citation: Dembo R, Swezey A, Schmeidler J. Predictors of depression among justice involved youth. *Epidemiol Public Health*. 2026; 4(1): 1092.

Depressed mood is also associated with several problem behaviors. A well-established correlation exists between depressed mood and conduct problems (e.g., conduct disorder and oppositional defiant disorder) during childhood and adolescence [2,5], which tends to become more prevalent during adolescence (e.g., Cohen P et al. 1993). Studies of youths involved in delinquency who enter the justice system have found high prevalence rates of depression and other mood disorders [1,33]. For example, Teplin, Abram, McClelland, et al. [32] found two-thirds of detained males and almost three-quarters of females met diagnostic criteria for one or more psychiatric disorders. Half of the males and females had a substance use disorder. Affective disorders were also prevalent, especially among females, with 20% meeting diagnostic criteria for a major depressive episode [32]. Other studies have identified similar prevalence of depressive symptoms and substance use disorders [12,26]. Related research on justice-involved youths has identified an association between depression and sexual risk behavior [33], sexual assault victimization and depression [9] and a comorbidity in marijuana use and depression [1,31]. Moreover, longitudinal studies suggest early problems with both depression and de-

linquency can lead to difficulties with school success, substance use, continued depression and criminal offending in adulthood [5,11,20,21]. Johnson, Esposito-Smythers, Miranda, et al. [17] note that while research has improved our knowledge of “disruptive behavior disorders among incarcerated youths, far less is known about the factors associated with depression and other internalizing symptoms in this population” (p. 1096).

Sex effects

It is also important to consider sex differences in the multiple problems often experienced by justice-involved youth. Females experience higher rates of psychiatric disorders, Sexually Transmitted Infections (STIs) and the consequential health effects of sexual assaults, than males [6-8,13-15,32,33]. On the other hand, males are more likely to be involved in substance use, and peer delinquency, than females [28]. The effectiveness of behavioral health services could be improved by greater insight into these gender specific relationships and the prevalence of subgroups of male and female youth reflecting different combinations or patterns of trauma and health risk behaviors.

Informed by the above noted research literature, the present study involving justice involved youth, addressed three research questions:

1. Does a similar factor structure exist for an eight-item depression construct among male and female youth?
2. What demographic, background and psychosocial factors relate to depression among the male and female youth?
3. How similar are the male and female predictive factors for depression?

Methods

Project setting: Juvenile Assessment Center (JAC) health coach services

Data were collected in an innovative, comprehensive health coach service for youths entering a Juvenile Assessment Center (JAC), a centralized intake facility located in a southeastern U.S. city. The data collection period ranged from 3/3/2024 to 8/30/2025. The service, which involves a collaboration with the county health department, has four major goals [7].

1. The service offers HIV and STD evidence-based risk reduction information and education to youths using gender-appropriate and developmentally appropriate curricula.
2. The service performs analysis of youth provided urine specimens to identify recent drug use (via the Enzyme Multiplied Immunoassay Technique [EMIT] procedure), STDs (Chlamydia and Gonorrhea via the Aptima testing procedure), and swab testing for HIV (only one HIV positive case was found, and that child was placed in treatment).
3. The service seeks to follow-up with STD and HIV positive youth, and promptly link them with appropriate treatment. Youth who screen high on a depression inventory [22,27] are also linked to follow-up services.

Health Coaches are trained to follow a detailed data collection and service delivery protocol, including Department of Health's STD and HIV pretest and posttest counseling. Data collection and entry are routinely monitored for integrity and quality by the program manager (a coauthor of this article). Data were collected in accordance with the requirements of the

Institutional Review Board.

Participants

Participation in the health coach service for the present data collection period occurred from 3/3/2024 to 8/30/2025. Following informed consent, 206 girls and 489 boys received health coach services. Eighty-one (11.6%) of the 695 youths entered the JAC more than once (most often a second time), during which occasion(s) they received additional health coach services. Since a relatively small number and percent of youth received health coach services more than once during the data collection time period, for youth with multiple entries, only health coach data collected during their first entry were used in the present study.

Participation in this service was voluntary. Florida public health law does not require youths 12 years and over to obtain parental consent for STD or HIV testing or treatment. No routinely available data were collected on youth who declined to participate [4] in health coach services.

Measures of depression

We used the eight-item version of the widely used 20-item Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale [27], derived from the psychometric work of Melchior, Huba, Brown, et al. [4], to measure depression. The measure included the following 8 items:

(1) I felt I could not shake off the blues even with the help from my family and friends; (2) I felt sad, (3) I felt depressed, (4) I thought my life had been a failure, (5) I felt fearful, (6) My sleep was restless, (7) I felt lonely, and (8) I had crying spells. The time frame used for these experiences was the past week. Each item was scored as follows: 0 -- less than one day, 1-1 to 2 days, 2-3 to 4 days, and 3-5 to 7 days.

Covariate measures

Sociodemographic characteristics.

Birth gender: male=0, female=1; Age (in number of years, 11 to 17); Race: 0=Non-Black, 1=Black; Ethnicity: 0=non-Hispanic, 1=Hispanic.

Background experiences

Health coaches collected the following background information from youth receiving their services: Parents ever separated or divorced: 0=no, 1=yes; household member placed in jail or prison: 0=no, 1=yes.

Psychosocial factors

Each youth was asked if they had ever been sexually assaulted. Responses were coded as 0=no, 1=yes.

As noted above, youth provided urine samples which were tested for STDs and drug use. The STD tests probed for the presence of Gonorrhea or Chlamydia. Each variable was coded: 0=negative, 1=positive. Since only 10 youth (1.4%) tested positive for Gonorrhea, this variable was not included in our analyses. The Chlamydia test results were coded as 0=negative, 1=positive.

The youths' recent drug use was probed by EMIT urine testing for the following drugs:

Amphetamine, Buprenorphine, Benzodiazepine, Cocaine, Alcohol, Fentanyl, Methylenedioxyamphetamine, Methamphetamine, Opiates/Morphine, Methadone, Oxycodone, and Marijuana. Each test result was coded as: 0=negative, 1=positive.

Less than 2.8% of the youth tested positive for any drug other than marijuana (66.6%). Hence, only marijuana was included in our analyses.

Strategy of analysis

Descriptive data were analyzed using SPSS version 29.0.2.0 (IBM, 2023). Factor invariance and regression analyses were conducted using Mplus 8.11 [25]. The analyses proceeded in two major steps. First, we provide a descriptive summary of the variables involved in our analyses. Second, we conducted a male-female, multigroup Bayesian [10] regression analysis, involving scalar invariance [3] to assess the relationship between the sociodemographic, background experience, and psychosocial variables and youth depression.

Results

Descriptive statistics

Descriptive statistics for male and female youth demographic, background and psychosocial characteristics are shown in (Table 1). There were more boys (70.4% to 29.6%) than girls in the study. The majority of the boys and girls self-identified as African-American (70.8% and 67.5%, respectively). The boys and girls in this study averaged 15.4 and 15.0 years of age, respectively. A minority of both males and females were Hispanic (8.6% and 10.2% respectively).

In regard to background experiences, a large majority of both gender groups reported their parents had separated or divorced. Further, nearly 1 in 5 male and female youth reported a parent had spent time in jail or prison.

Psychosocial factors reflected gender group differences as well. Male youth had higher marijuana test positive rates, than females. On the other hand, females reported higher rates of being sexually assaulted and tested positive for Chlamydia at a higher rate than the males.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics and description of major variables.

	Male (n=485-489)	Female (n=193-206)
Age (Mean, SD)	15.45 (1.30)	15.09(1.44) **
Black	70.80%	67.50%
Hispanic	8.60%	10.20%
Parents separated/Divorced	18.60%	19.20%
Sexually assaulted	1.30%	16.80%**
Marijuana positive	71.10%	59.90%**
Chlamydia	8.40%	14.10%*
Depression Items		
Could not shake off blues	0.16(0.56)	0.31(0.77)***
Felt sad	0.38(0.79)	0.76(1.07)***
Felt depressed	0.25(0.72)	0.63(1.08)***
Thought my life a failure	0.21(0.65)	0.43(0.89)***
Felt fearful	0.12(0.46)	0.37(0.83)**
Sleep was restless	0.51(1.00)	0.64(1.04)
Felt lonely	0.29(0.76)	0.57(1.07)***
Had crying spells	0.17(0.54)	0.63(1.00) ***

Two-Tailed p-values: *p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001

Females reported significantly higher levels of depression on seven of the eight depression items, than the males. Importantly, 24.3% of female youth, compared to 10.2% of the males, had a depression score of 7 or higher, a designated threshold score indicative of potentially needing clinical intervention [4,29].

Male-female multigroup analysis

Measurement invariance analysis sought to identify whether the depression measure had the same meaning and statistical structure across the male and female youth groups [3,23]. Hence, we conducted a male-female multigroup regression analysis involving scalar invariance for the depression measure, and a regression of the depression measure on our sociodemographic, background experience, and psychosocial predictor variables. The results are shown in (Table 2).

Table 2: Multigroup model fit information.

Number of Free Parameters	49			
Bayesian Posterior Predictive Checking using Chi-Square	-35.565			
95% Confidence Interval for the Difference Between the Observed and the Replicated Chi-Square Values	81.726			
Posterior Predictive P-Value	0.220			
Potential Scale Reduction (PSR):	1.061			
Posterior Predictive P-Value (Confidence Limits) From Each Group				
Group 1 (0)	0.427 (-36.027, 43.907)			
Group 2 (1)	0.197 (-24.215, 63.666)			
Final Class Counts and Proportions for The Latent Classes Based on The Estimated Model				
Latent Classes				
1	447	0.70173		
2	190	0.29827		

MODEL RESULTS ---MALES					
Estimate	Posterior S.D.	One-Tailed P-Value	95% C.I.		Significance
			Lower 2.5%	Upper 2.5%	
TOTDEPR by					
DEP1	1.000	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
DEP2	1.263	0.192	0.932	1.714	1.714*
DEP3	1.218	0.213	0.878	1.701	1.701*
DEP4	0.981	0.158	0.705	1.326	1.326*
DEP5	0.860	0.138	0.624	1.170	1.170*
DEP6	0.533	0.079	0.391	0.696	0.696*
DEP7	0.914	0.146	0.665	1.238	1.238*
DEP8	0.768	0.111	0.574	1.010	1.010*
TOTDEPR on					
ETHNIC	-0.097	0.355	0.390	-0.803	0.598
RBLACK	-0.663	0.232	0.001	-1.150	-0.242*
RAGE	-0.021	0.073	0.384	-0.167	0.121
THC	-0.116	0.205	0.282	-0.527	0.285
CHLAMYDIA	-0.452	0.372	0.102	-1.224	0.247
SEXASSLT	0.617	0.725	0.190	-0.804	2.065
SEPARATE/DIVORCE	-0.039	0.207	0.423	-0.449	0.371
PARENT JAIL/PRISON	0.864	0.248	0.000	0.424	1.404*
Intercepts					
TOTDEPR	-1.577	1.215	0.09	-4.070	0.719
Thresholds					
DEP1\$1	0.143	0.343	0.356	-0.542	0.750
DEP1\$2	0.706	0.346	0.015	0.050	1.342*
DEP1\$3	1.524	0.364	0.000	0.871	2.229*
DEP2\$1	-1.237	0.435	0.000	-2.096	-0.498*
DEP2\$2	-0.203	0.428	0.337	-1.021	0.554
DEP2\$3	0.758	0.430	0.026	-0.004	1.566
DEP3\$1	-0.490	0.411	0.143	-1.222	0.265
DEP3\$2	0.023	0.409	0.482	-0.699	0.784
DEP3\$3	0.768	0.411	0.016	0.045	1.541*
DEP4\$1	-0.056	0.346	0.448	-0.708	0.536
DEP4\$2	0.495	0.343	0.091	-0.134	1.089
DEP4\$3	1.183	0.344	0.000	0.569	1.810*
DEP5\$1	0.262	0.297	0.209	-0.276	0.811
DEP5\$2	0.931	0.296	0.000	0.400	1.490*
DEP5\$3	1.528	0.312	0.000	0.970	2.130*
DEP6\$1	-0.244	0.192	0.109	-0.610	0.108
DEP6\$2	0.128	0.190	0.271	-0.229	0.476
DEP6\$3	0.590	0.192	0.000	0.233	0.943*
DEP7\$1	-0.202	0.319	0.284	-0.813	0.341
DEP7\$2	0.178	0.315	0.317	-0.412	0.731
DEP7\$3	0.795	0.315	0.003	0.188	1.352*
DEP8\$1	-0.110	0.259	0.351	-0.595	0.365
DEP8\$2	0.551	0.261	0.009	0.078	1.039*
DEP8\$3	1.180	0.274	0.000	0.706	1.712*
Residual variances					
TOTDEPR	2.221	0.594	0.000	1.429	3.721*
MODEL RESULTS-- FEMALEs					
Estimate	Posterior S.D.	One-Tailed P-Value	95% CI.		Significance
			Lower 2.5%	Upper 2.5%	
TOTDEPR BY					
DEP1	1.000	0.000	0.000	1.000	1.000
DEP2	1.263	0.192	0.000	0.932	1.714*
DEP3	1.218	0.213	0.000	0.878	1.701*
DEP4	0.981	0.158	0.000	0.705	1.326*

DEP5	0.860	0.138	0.000	0.624	1.170*
DEP6	0.533	0.079	0.000	0.391	0.696*
DEP7	0.914	0.146	0.000	0.665	1.238*
DEP8	0.768	0.111	0.000	0.574	1.010*
TOTDEPR on					
ETHNIC	0.089	0.479	0.426	-0.856	1.035
RBLACK	-0.082	0.317	0.396	-0.707	0.545
RAGE	-0.137	0.037	0.000	-0.222	-0.075*
THC	0.172	0.271	0.258	-0.355	0.713
CHLAMYDIA	0.114	0.377	0.378	-0.624	0.861
SEXASSLT	1.143	0.359	0.000	0.495	1.912*
SEPARATE/DIVORCE	0.386	0.311	0.096	-0.193	1.032
PARENT JAIL/PRISON	0.705	0.333	0.012	0.091	1.396*
Intercepts					
TOTDEPR	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000
Thresholds					
DEP1\$1	0.143	0.343	0.356	-0.542	0.75
DEP1\$2	0.706	0.346	0.015	0.05	1.342*
DEP1\$3	1.524	0.364	0.000	0.871	2.229*
DEP2\$1	-1.237	0.435	0.000	-2.096	-0.498*
DEP2\$2	-0.203	0.428	0.337	-1.021	0.554
DEP2\$3	0.758	0.430	0.026	-0.004	1.566
DEP3\$1	-0.490	0.411	0.143	-1.222	0.265
DEP3\$2	0.023	0.409	0.482	-0.699	0.784
DEP3\$3	0.768	0.411	0.016	0.045	1.541*
DEP4\$1	-0.056	0.346	0.448	-0.708	0.536
DEP4\$2	0.495	0.343	0.091	-0.134	1.089
DEP4\$3	1.183	0.344	0.000	0.569	1.810*
DEP5\$1	0.262	0.297	0.209	-0.276	0.811
DEP5\$2	0.931	0.296	0.000	0.4	1.490*
DEP5\$3	1.528	0.312	0.000	0.97	2.130*
DEP6\$1	-0.244	0.192	0.109	-0.61	0.108
DEP6\$2	0.128	0.190	0.271	-0.229	0.476
DEP6\$3	0.590	0.192	0.000	0.233	0.943*
DEP7\$1	-0.202	0.319	0.284	-0.813	0.341
DEP7\$2	0.178	0.315	0.317	-0.412	0.731
DEP7\$3	0.795	0.315	0.003	0.188	1.352*
DEP8\$1	-0.110	0.259	0.351	-0.595	0.365
DEP8\$2	0.551	0.261	0.009	0.078	1.039*
DEP8\$3	1.180	0.274	0.000	0.706	1.712*
Residual Variances					
TOTDEPR	2.221	0.594	0.000	1.429	3.721*

As (Table 2) shows, the depression model fit was excellent for both gender groups. The specified Bayesian regression models were run with an initial 40,000 iterations, followed by 80,000 iterations to confirm model convergence stability reflected in its Potential Scale Reduction (PSR) value. Excellent PSR values of 1.061 were found in the 40,000-iteration run and 1.041 in the 80,000-iteration run, respectively, reflecting an expected reduction in PSR value. Additional convergence assessment involved a review of Markov Chain Monte Carlo trace plots, tracking stability in the movement of the chain across the sampling algorithm [10]. The Bayesian measure of model fit, the Posterior Predictive P-value (PPP), was good for each regression model with PPP values of 0.220 and 0.231 for the 40K and 80K iteration runs, respectively.

Regression results indicate Black male youth reported significantly lower depression than nonBlack (mainly White) youth.

On the other hand, male youth who reported a parent spent time in jail or prison reported significantly more depression, than male youth whose parents were not reported to have incarceration experience. For females, and similar to males, youth with parents who had incarceration experience reported significantly more depression than youth who did not report any parent incarceration. In addition, older age youth reported significantly lower depression than younger aged youth. Further, females who experienced being sexually assaulted reported a significantly higher level of depression, than those without such an experience.

Discussion/conclusion

In addition to confirming the psychometric soundness of the measure of depression we used in this study; regression analysis highlighted some significant predictors of depression that

add to the literature on this topic. The results provide answers to the research questions informing this study.

Research Question #1: Does a similar factor structure exist for the eight-item depression construct among male and female youth? Multigroup analysis provided statistical evidence supporting the view that the depression measure we used was psychometrically sound and scalar invariant (same number of factors, same factor loadings and same threshold values across the male and female youth in the study).

Research Question #2: What demographic, background and psychosocial factors relate to depression among the male and female youth. As reported earlier, for both males and females, only two demographic factors related to the youths' depression scores. For youth in both gender groups, neither THC (marijuana) use nor STD test results for Chlamydia were significantly related to depression. On the other hand, for both gender groups, background factors (for males: parent jail or prison; females: being sexually assaulted, parent spending time in jail or prison) were significant predictors of higher depression scores.

Research Question #3: How similar are the male and female predictive factors for depression? The pattern of results points to the importance of considering background experiences in understanding justice involved youth depression, particularly at the point of entry into the justice system.

There were several significant gender effects in the correlates of depression we identified.

Specifically, for both males and females, youth whose parents experienced jail or prison had higher depression scores, than youth whose parent(s) did not have such experience. Further, females who reported being sexually assaulted reported significantly higher depression, than females not reporting this experience. Meta-analytic studies have identified family issues, such as incarceration, as being consistently related to youth antisocial behavior [24]. However, little is known about the dynamics or paths of causal influence of parental incarceration on their children's mental health. This represents an important area for further research.

The significant relationship between parent incarceration history and youth depression underscores the importance of further study of this relationship. Murray, Farrington, and Sekols' [24] meta-analytic review of 40 studies led them to recommend more rigorous studies on this important topic. In particular, criminal justice system reform may be needed to prevent the negative consequences of parental incarceration on their children. Such efforts are especially needed in the U.S., which is among the nations with the highest rates of incarceration in the world.

The lack of association between depression, marijuana use and STDs is particularly interesting. Importantly, as (Table 1) shows, males have a significantly higher positive rate for marijuana, than females; and females have a significantly higher Chlamydia positive rate than males. However, when we control for gender in our regression models, these relationships disappear. These findings underscore the importance of assessing gender specific effects in research studies involving depression among justice involved youth.

There are a number of strong points in the research reported in this paper. Among them are the use of biological tests of recent drug use and the existence of an STD (Chlamydia and/or

Gonorrhea). These test results prevent the self-report bias of ten encountered in studies involving these issues among justice involved youth.

At the same time, there are several limitations to the research reported in this paper. First, the multigroup, multivariate analyses were conducted on cross-sectional data. Hence, no causal interpretations of our findings are possible. Second, the results of the study may not generalize to male and female youths arrested in other jurisdictions, reflecting different sociodemographic and contextual circumstances. Future research should conduct similar studies in other jurisdictions.

Our research underscores the importance of obtaining routine, comprehensive background information on justice involved male and female youth entering the justice system, which can provide a better understanding of their common and gender specific service needs. Such understanding can inform the development of more effective delivery of mental health and related services to the many troubled youth who enter the system.

Declarations

Acknowledgement: We are grateful for County Department of Health support for the STD and HIV testing material, laboratory testing, and ongoing training of Health Coaches.

Data availability: Data were collected in accordance with the requirements the Institutional Review Board, and deidentified for this study. These public health data are restricted to analysis by the identified senior author-researcher, reflected in a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA).

Conflicts of interest: The authors of this manuscript have no conflicts of interest to report.

References

1. Abram KM, Teplin LA, McClelland GM, Dulcan MK. Comorbid psychiatric disorders in youth in juvenile detention. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2003; 60: 1097–1108.
2. Angold A, Costello E. Depressive comorbidity in children and adolescents: empirical, theoretical, and methodological issues. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1993; 150: 1779–1791.
3. Asparouhov T, Muthén BO. Multiple group alignment for exploratory and structural equation models. *Struct Equ Modeling*. 2023; 30: 169–191.
4. Brown JL, Sales JM, Swartzendruber AL, Eriksen MD, DiClemente RJ, Rose ES. Added benefits: reduced depressive symptom levels among African-American female adolescents participating in an HIV prevention intervention. *J Behav Med*. 2014; 37: 912–920.
5. Capaldi D, Stoolmiller M. Co-occurrence of conduct problems and depressive symptoms in early adolescent boys: prediction to young-adult adjustment. *Dev Psychopathol*. 1999; 11: 59–84.
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sexually transmitted disease surveillance 2016. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2017.
7. Dembo R, DiClemente RJ, Brown R, Faber J, Cristiano J, Terminello A. Health coaches: an innovative and effective approach for identifying and addressing the health needs of juvenile involved youth. *J Community Med Health Educ*. 2016; 6: 1–7.
8. Dembo R, Faber J, Cristiano J, DiClemente RJ, Krupa JM, Terminello A, et al. Health risk behavior among justice-involved

- male and female youth: exploratory multi-group latent class analysis. *Subst Use Misuse*. 2017; 52: 1751–1764.
9. Dembo R, Swezey A, Herrera R, Melendez L, Geiger C, Bittrich K, et al. Trauma-informed understanding of depression among justice-involved youth. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2025; 22: 1371.
 10. Depaoli S. Bayesian structural equation modeling. New York: Guilford Press; 2021.
 11. Diamantopoulou S, Verhulst FC, van der Ende J. Gender differences in the development and adult outcome of co-occurring depression and delinquency in adolescence. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2011; 120: 644–655.
 12. Domalanta DD, Risser WL, Roberts RE, Risser JMH. Prevalence of depression and other psychiatric disorders among incarcerated youths. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2003; 42: 477–484.
 13. Ford JD, Grasso DJ, Hawke J, Chapman JF. Poly-victimization among juvenile justice-involved youths. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2013; 37: 788–800.
 14. Gault-Sherman M, Silver E, Sigfúsdóttir ID. Gender and the associated impairments of childhood sexual abuse: a national study of Icelandic youth. *Soc Sci Med*. 2009; 69: 1515–1522.
 15. Goodkind S, Ng I, Sarri RC. The impact of sexual abuse in the lives of young women involved or at risk of involvement with the juvenile justice system. *Violence Against Women*. 2006; 12: 456–477.
 16. IBM Corp. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows. Version 29.0. Armonk (NY): IBM Corp.; 2022.
 17. Johnson JE, Esposito-Smythers C, Miranda R, Rizzo CJ, Justus AN, Clum G. Gender, social support, and depression in criminal justice-involved adolescents. *Int J Offender Ther Comp Criminol*. 2011; 55: 1096–1109.
 18. Kim HY, Choi SY, Chae SM. Effects of cumulative trauma on health. *J Health Educ Promot*. 2022; 39: 67–80.
 19. Kim HS, Pyo HJ, Fava M, Mischoulon D. Bullying, psychological, and physical trauma during early life increase risk of major depressive disorder in adulthood: a nationwide community sample of Korean adults. *Front Psychiatry*. 2022; 13: 792734.
 20. Loeber R, Pardini DA, Stouthamer-Loeber M, Raine A. Do cognitive, physiological, and psychosocial risk and promotive factors predict desistance from delinquency in males? *Dev Psychopathol*. 2007; 19: 867–887.
 21. Marmorstein NR, Iacono WG. Major depression and conduct disorder in a twin sample: gender, functioning, and risk for future psychopathology. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2003; 42: 225–233.
 22. Melchior LA, Huba GJ, Brown VB, Reback CJ. A short depression index for women. *Educ Psychol Meas*. 1993; 53: 1117–1125.
 23. Meredith W. Measurement invariance, factor analysis and factorial invariance. *Psychometrika*. 1993; 58: 525–543.
 24. Murray J, Farrington DP, Sekol I. Children's antisocial behavior, mental health, drug use, and educational performance after parental incarceration: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Bull*. 2012; 138: 175–210.
 25. Muthén LK, Muthén BO. Mplus user's guide. Los Angeles (CA): Muthén & Muthén; 1998–2017.
 26. Pliszka SR, Sherman JO, Barrow MV, Irick S. Affective disorder in juvenile offenders: a preliminary study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000; 157: 130–132.
 27. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: a self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Appl Psychol Meas*. 1977; 1: 385–401.
 28. Sanchez-Samper X, Knight JR. Drug abuse by adolescents: general considerations. *Pediatr Rev*. 2009; 30: 83–93.
 29. Santor DA, Coyne JC. Shortening the CES-D to improve its ability to detect cases of depression. *Psychol Assess*. 1997; 9: 223–243.
 30. Song L, Lee M. Traumatic experiences in childhood and depressive symptoms in adulthood: the effects of social relationships. *Popul Assoc Korea*. 2016; 39: 1–24.
 31. Stein LAR, Lebeau R, Colby SM, Barnett NP, Golembeske C, Monti PM. Motivational interviewing for incarcerated adolescents: effects of depressive symptoms on reducing alcohol and marijuana use after release. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs*. 2011; 72: 497–506.
 32. Teplin LA, Abram KM, McClelland GM, Dulcan MK, Mericle AA. Psychiatric disorders in youth in detention. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2002; 59: 1133–1143.
 33. Teplin LA, Abram KM, McClelland GM, Washburn JJ, Pikus AK. Detecting mental disorder in juvenile detainees: who receives services. *Am J Public Health*. 2005; 95: 1773–1780.
 34. Teplin LA, Elkington KS, McClelland GM, Abram KM, Mericle AA, Washburn JJ. Major mental disorders, substance use disorders, comorbidity, and HIV-AIDS risk behaviors in juvenile detainees. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2005; 56: 823–828.
 35. Teplin LA, Potthoff LM, Aaby DA, Welty LJ, Dulcan MK, Abram KM. Prevalence, comorbidity, and continuity of psychiatric disorders in a 15-year longitudinal study of youths involved in the juvenile justice system. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2021; 175: e205807.